Journal Basic Science and Technology 13 (1) (2024) pp. 28-39

Published by: IOCSCIENCE

Journal Basic Science and Technology

Journal homepage: www.iocscience.org/ejournal/index.php/JBST

Enhancing Islamic Name Selection: A Decision Support System Utilizing Analytical Hierarchy Process Methodology

Taufik Ashraf Zaid

Arabic Language and Literature Study Program, Faculty of Adab and Language, Raden Mas Said State Islamic University, Surakarta.

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:

Received Jan 08, 2023
Revised Feb 12, 2024
Accepted Feb 28, 2024

Keywords:

Islamic Names;
Decision Support System (DSS);
Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP);
Cultural Sensitivity;
Name Selection.

ABSTRACT

This research presents the development of a Decision Support System (DSS) tailored for the selection of Islamic names using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) methodology. Naming holds profound cultural and religious significance in Islamic tradition, reflecting values, beliefs, and aspirations. The DSS integrates data analytics, mathematical modeling, and cultural sensitivity to provide a systematic approach to name selection, encompassing criteria such as religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance. Through a thorough review of existing literature, the research identifies gaps in knowledge and explores the intersection of technology and tradition in name selection. The methodology encompasses problem formulation, criteria identification, alternatives generation, hierarchical structure design, pairwise comparisons, AHP model development, system development, testing and evaluation, validation and verification, and documentation and reporting. A numerical example illustrates the application of the DSS, demonstrating its effectiveness in prioritizing Islamic names based on predefined criteria. The findings highlight the importance of considering multiple dimensions in name selection and the potential of the DSS to empower Muslim individuals and families in making informed and culturally sensitive choices. The research contributes to advancing knowledge and understanding in this domain, offering insights into the complexities and nuances of name selection in Islamic culture.

Corresponding Author:

Taufik Ashraf Zaid,
Arabic Language and Literature Study Program, Faculty of Adab and Language,
Raden Mas Said State Islamic University, Surakarta.
Jl. Pandawa, Hamlet IV, Pucangan, District. Kartasura, Sukoharjo Regency, Central Java 57168,
Email: ashrafzaid@uinsaid.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

In Islamic culture, the significance of names extends far beyond mere identifiers, they are imbued with profound meanings, symbolisms, and cultural connections(Abd-Allah, 2006). The process of selecting an appropriate name for an individual involves careful consideration of various factors, including religious significance, familial traditions, and cultural norms. However, the task of choosing a name can be daunting, particularly for parents seeking to uphold Islamic values while navigating a vast array of options(Bayat & Herrera, 2010).

The process of selecting Islamic names is deeply rooted in tradition, culture, and religious beliefs, reflecting the rich tapestry of Islamic heritage(Brend, 1991). Over the centuries, various methods and approaches have emerged to guide individuals and families in choosing names that resonate with their faith and values. These methods encompass a range of sources, including religious texts, cultural customs, and personal preferences, each contributing to the diversity and depth of Islamic naming practices(Cheraghi et al., 2014).

One of the most prominent sources for selecting Islamic names is the Quran, the holy book of Islam(Rippin & Knappert, 1990). Many Muslims turn to the Quran for inspiration when choosing names for their children, seeking names that are mentioned in the scripture or derived from its verses. Names such as Muhammad, Fatimah, and Ibrahim are among the most popular choices, honoring prophets, companions, and figures of reverence in Islamic tradition. The Quranic emphasis on piety, virtue, and righteousness often shapes the criteria for selecting names, with parents seeking names that embody noble qualities and reflect their aspirations for their children's character and conduct(Bensaid, 2021).

In addition to the Quran, the Hadith, the sayings and teachings of Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), also serve as a valuable source of inspiration for selecting Islamic names(Ahmad & Azzam, 1976). Hadith collections contain numerous examples of names that were favored or recommended by the Prophet, providing guidance for Muslims seeking names that are in accordance with prophetic tradition. Names such as Aisha, Ali, and Khadijah, associated with the Prophet and his family, hold special significance for many Muslims, symbolizing piety, wisdom, and devotion(Aleem, 2011).

Beyond religious texts, cultural customs and regional traditions also play a significant role in shaping naming practices within the Islamic world(Hughes, 2013). Different cultures and communities may have unique naming conventions, influenced by local languages, historical events, or tribal affiliations. For example, in Arab societies, it is common to use traditional Arabic names with meanings rooted in the language and culture of the region. Names such as Amira, Khalid, and Layla are popular choices among Arab Muslims, reflecting the linguistic and cultural heritage of the Arab world(Hammad, 2022).

Similarly, in South Asia, Islamic names often reflect the cultural diversity and linguistic richness of the region(Brass, 2024). Names derived from Arabic, Persian, and Urdu languages are prevalent, with variations in pronunciation and spelling reflecting regional dialects and customs. Names such as Arif, Sana, and Zainab are widely used in South Asian Muslim communities, honoring historical figures, poets, and saints revered within the region(Zaidi, 2015).

Beyond their religious and familial dimensions, names in Islam also play a crucial role in shaping individual identity and social interactions(Peek, 2005). A person's name is not merely a personal identifier but a reflection of their character, aspirations, and cultural background. In many Muslim societies, names are chosen with care to evoke positive qualities or to express hopes for the child's future success and well-being. A well-chosen name can instill confidence, pride, and a sense of belonging, influencing how an individual is perceived by others and how they perceive themselves(Williams, 2002).

Traditional methods of selecting Islamic names often rely on personal preferences, family traditions, or advice from religious scholars(An-Na’im, 2002). While these methods may have served their purpose for generations, the modern world presents new challenges and complexities. In an era characterized by rapid globalization and cultural exchange, individuals and families are increasingly exposed to a diverse range of names from different cultures and languages. Moreover, the proliferation of digital platforms and online resources has made it easier than ever to access vast repositories of names, further complicating the decision-making process(Ajah & Nweke, 2019).

In today's interconnected world, where cultures converge and diversity flourishes, the task of choosing an appropriate name for an individual has become increasingly complex(Norris & Inglehart, 2009). Muslim parents are often confronted with a myriad of options, ranging from traditional Islamic names to modern, cosmopolitan choices influenced by global trends. In navigating this diverse landscape, the importance of selecting a name that aligns with Islamic values, resonates with personal beliefs, and reflects cultural identity is paramount.

In response to these challenges, researchers and developers have sought to harness the power of technology to assist individuals and families in selecting Islamic names(Islam et al., 2008). One promising approach is the development of decision support systems (DSS) tailored specifically for this purpose. These systems leverage computational algorithms and decision-making methodologies to provide users with personalized recommendations based on their preferences, cultural background, and religious beliefs.

Decision support systems are computational tools designed to assist individuals or organizations in making better-informed and more effective decisions(Sauter, 2014). Unlike traditional information systems, which focus primarily on data storage and retrieval, DSS are specifically tailored to facilitate the decision-making process by providing analytical capabilities, modeling techniques, and interactive interfaces. The overarching goal of DSS is to enhance the quality, efficiency, and agility of decision-making by leveraging technology to harness the power of data, knowledge, and expertise.

One of the key features of decision support systems is their ability to handle complex and unstructured decision scenarios(Filip, 2008). Decision problems in real-world settings often involve multiple objectives, conflicting priorities, and uncertain outcomes, making them inherently challenging to address using conventional approaches. DSS are equipped with sophisticated analytical tools and modeling techniques that enable decision-makers to break down complex problems into manageable components, explore alternative courses of action, and evaluate the potential implications of their decisions under different scenarios.

Moreover, decision support systems are characterized by their interactive and user-friendly interfaces, which enable seamless collaboration and communication among stakeholders(Rinner et al., 2008). DSS often incorporate visualization tools, graphical displays, and interactive dashboards that facilitate intuitive exploration of data, insights, and decision options. By promoting transparency, engagement, and shared understanding, these interfaces foster a collaborative decision-making environment where stakeholders can contribute their expertise, perspectives, and insights to the decision-making process.

The relevance of decision support systems in aiding decision-making processes extends across a wide range of domains and applications(Bonczek et al., 2014). In business and management, DSS are used to support strategic planning, resource allocation, risk management, and performance optimization. In healthcare, DSS are deployed to assist clinicians in diagnosis, treatment planning, and patient management, leveraging clinical data, medical knowledge bases, and evidence-based guidelines. In government and public administration, DSS are employed to facilitate policy analysis, regulatory compliance, and emergency response planning, enabling policymakers to make informed decisions in complex and dynamic environments.

Among the various decision-making methods available, the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) has emerged as a popular choice for developing decision support systems for selecting Islamic names(Katarina et al., 2021). AHP, developed by Thomas L. Saaty in the 1970s, is a structured technique for organizing and analyzing complex decisions by breaking them down into a hierarchy of criteria and alternatives. This method allows decision-makers to systematically evaluate and prioritize options based on multiple criteria, taking into account both quantitative and qualitative factors.

The application of AHP in the context of selecting Islamic names offers several advantages. Firstly, it provides a systematic framework for evaluating names based on their religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance. By structuring the decision-making process into a hierarchical model, AHP enables users to weigh the importance of different criteria according to their personal preferences and beliefs. Additionally, AHP allows for transparency and consistency in decision-making, helping users navigate the vast landscape of Islamic names with confidence and clarity.

A significant body of literature exists on decision support systems, reflecting their importance in various fields such as business, healthcare, and public policy(Musen et al., 2021). According to Turban et al. (2019), a decision support system is an interactive computer-based system that helps decision-makers utilize data and models to solve semi-structured or unstructured problems. DSS are designed to enhance decision-making processes by providing analytical tools, data visualization

capabilities, and decision modeling techniques. Research by Power (2002) emphasizes the role of DSS in improving decision quality, reducing uncertainty, and supporting complex decision scenarios.

The Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP), developed by Thomas L. Saaty in the 1970s, is a widely used decision-making methodology that is particularly suited for multi-criteria decision-making scenarios(Khazaii & Khazaii, 2016). Saaty (1980) defines AHP as a systematic approach for structuring complex decision problems, organizing criteria and alternatives into hierarchies, and synthesizing judgments from multiple decision-makers. AHP employs pairwise comparisons and mathematical algorithms to derive priority rankings for alternatives based on the relative importance of criteria. Research by Vaidya and Kumar (2006) highlights the flexibility and scalability of AHP, making it applicable to a wide range of decision contexts, from project selection to product evaluation.

In the context of selecting Islamic names, there is limited but emerging literature that explores the intersection of cultural traditions, religious beliefs, and decision-making processes(Falah & Nagel, 2005). Research by Al-Talla et al. (2015) examines the factors influencing name selection among Muslim parents in Arab societies, highlighting the importance of religious significance, family traditions, and societal norms. The study underscores the need for decision support tools that consider cultural sensitivities and religious values when recommending Islamic names.

Furthermore, recent studies have begun to explore the application of decision support systems and AHP methodology in the context of selecting Islamic names. For example, research by Abdul Razak et al. (2020) proposes a decision support system for selecting Islamic names based on AHP methodology, aiming to assist Muslim parents in making informed and culturally sensitive decisions. The study demonstrates the feasibility and effectiveness of using AHP to prioritize Islamic names according to religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance.

Despite the potential benefits of using decision support systems based on AHP methodology, there remains a need for further research and development in this area(Dos Santos et al., 2019). Existing systems may lack robustness, user-friendliness, or cultural sensitivity, thereby limiting their effectiveness and adoption. Moreover, cultural norms and naming traditions vary widely across different regions and communities, necessitating customization and localization of decision support tools to meet the diverse needs of users(Ueda et al., 2009).

In light of these considerations, this research endeavors to contribute to the ongoing efforts in developing a comprehensive and user-centric decision support system for selecting Islamic names. By integrating the principles of AHP methodology with insights from Islamic culture and tradition, the aim is to create a tool that empowers individuals and families to make informed and meaningful choices when naming their loved ones. Through rigorous analysis, iterative design, and user feedback, this research seeks to pave the way for a more inclusive, accessible, and culturally sensitive approach to naming in the digital age.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The The methodology employed in this research encompasses a systematic and comprehensive approach to developing a decision support system (DSS) for selecting Islamic names using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) methodology. The methodology is structured to ensure the rigorous analysis, design, implementation, and evaluation of the DSS, incorporating both qualitative and quantitative techniques to address the research objectives effectively.

The research begins with a thorough review of existing literature on decision support systems, AHP methodology, and the selection of Islamic names to identify relevant theories, concepts, and gaps in knowledge.

Based on the literature review, the research problem is formulated, defining the objectives, scope, and research questions that guide the study.

The next step involves identifying the criteria and factors relevant to the selection of Islamic names. This includes religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, cultural relevance, and personal preferences.

Criteria are defined and categorized based on their importance and relevance to the decision-making process, ensuring comprehensive coverage of all relevant dimensions.

A comprehensive list of Islamic names is generated, drawing from various sources including the Quran, Hadith, cultural traditions, and linguistic heritage. Names are compiled and organized into a database, ensuring accuracy, consistency, and cultural sensitivity in representation.

The hierarchical structure of the decision-making model is designed, incorporating criteria, sub-criteria, and alternatives in a structured framework. The hierarchical structure reflects the relationships and dependencies between criteria and alternatives, facilitating the decision-making process.

Pairwise comparisons are conducted to elicit the relative importance of criteria and alternatives in relation to each other.

Decision-makers provide judgments and preferences through pairwise comparisons, using scales to quantify the relative importance of criteria and the performance of alternatives.

The data collected from pairwise comparisons are used to construct the AHP model, which calculates priority weights for criteria and alternatives based on the judgments provided.

Mathematical algorithms and software tools are employed to perform calculations and derive priority rankings, ensuring accuracy and consistency in the decision-making process.

The decision support system is developed based on the AHP model, incorporating user-friendly interfaces, interactive features, and data visualization tools. Software development methodologies such as agile or iterative approaches are employed to ensure flexibility, responsiveness, and stakeholder engagement throughout the development process.

The developed DSS is tested and evaluated using empirical methods, including usability testing, functionality testing, and user feedback collection. Performance metrics such as accuracy, efficiency, user satisfaction, and cultural sensitivity are assessed to evaluate the effectiveness and reliability of the DSS.

The final step involves validating and verifying the DSS against established criteria and standards, ensuring its alignment with research objectives and user requirements. Validation is conducted through expert reviews, comparison with existing methods, and benchmarking against established benchmarks.

The research findings, methodologies, and outcomes are documented in a comprehensive report, detailing the process, results, and implications of the study. Recommendations for future research, practical implications, and potential applications of the DSS are discussed in the report, providing insights for stakeholders and researchers in the field.

A new mathematical formulation Model

To create a new mathematical formulation model for the Decision Support System (DSS) aimed at selecting Islamic names using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) methodology, we will establish a hierarchical structure that encompasses criteria, sub-criteria, and alternatives. The model will quantify the relative importance of criteria and alternatives based on pairwise comparisons provided by decision-makers. Here's the mathematical formulation:

- Let C represent the set of criteria, where c_i denotes a specific criterion.
- Let A represent the set of alternatives, where a_j denotes a specific alternative (Islamic name).
- Let w_{ci} represent the priority weight of criterion c_i, indicating its relative importance in the decision-making process.
- Let w_{aj} represent the priority weight of alternative a_j, indicating its overall suitability or preference.

a. Step 1: Pairwise Comparisons
   - Decision-makers provide pairwise comparisons to assess the relative importance of criteria and the performance of alternatives. The comparison matrix C_{Ci} represents the pairwise comparisons of criteria, where C_{Ci} (*i, j*) denotes the preference of criterion c_i, over criterion c_j.
   - Similarly, the comparison matrix where C_{Ai} represents the pairwise comparisons of alternatives for criterion c_i, where C_{Ai} (*m, n*) denotes the preference of alternative a_m over alternative a_n with respect to criterion c_i.

b. Step 2: Calculation of Priority Weights

- Calculation of Priority Weights for Criteria: The priority weight w_{ci} for each criterion is calculated using the eigenvector method or other suitable algorithms based on the pairwise comparison matrices C_{Ci}.
- Calculation of Priority Weights for Alternatives: For each criterion c_i the priority weight $w_{a_j}^{(c_i)}$ for alternative a_j is calculated using the eigenvector method or other suitable algorithms based on the pairwise comparison matrix C_{Ai}.

c. Step 3: Aggregation of Criteria Weights

- The overall priority weight w_{aj} for alternative a_j is determined by aggregating the weights of individual criteria:

$$W_{aj} = \sum_{i=1}^{|C|} w_{ci} \times W_{a_j}^{|c_i|}$$

d. Step 4: Ranking of Alternatives
The alternatives are ranked based on their overall priority weights w_{aj} with higher weights indicating greater suitability or preference.

e. Step 5: Decision-making Process
Based on the rankings provided by the model, decision-makers can select the most suitable Islamic names for individuals, considering the prioritization of criteria and alternatives derived from the AHP methodology.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A Numerical example of this research according to the mathematical formulation above

A numerical example of the Decision Support System (DSS) for selecting Islamic names using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) methodology, with three criteria and three alternatives. The criteria are "Religious Significance," "Linguistic Aesthetics," and "Cultural Relevance," and the alternatives are three Islamic names: "Muhammad," "Aisha," and "Omar."

a. Step 1: Pairwise Comparisons
Decision-makers provide pairwise comparisons to assess the relative importance of criteria and the performance of alternatives. Let's assume the following pairwise comparison matrices:

Criteria Comparison Matrix:

$$C_C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Alternative Comparison Matrices:
For "Religious Significance" (c1):

$$C_{A_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For "Linguistic Aesthetics" (c2):

$$C_{A_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For "Cultural Relevance" (c3):

$$C_{A_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{3} & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

b. Step 2: Calculation of Priority Weights
- Calculation of Priority Weights for Criteria:
  Using the eigenvector method, the priority weights for the criteria are calculated as follows:
  w_{c_1} = 0.461, w_{c_2} = 0.271, w_{c_3} = 0.268
- Calculation of Priority Weights for Alternatives:
  For each criterion, the priority weights for alternatives are calculated as follows:
- For "Religious Significance" (c1):
  $W_{a_1}^{(c_1)}$ = 0.277, $W_{a_2}^{(c_1)}$= 0.556, $W_{a_3}^{(c_1)}$ = 0.167
- For "Linguistic Aesthetics" (c2):
  $W_{a_1}^{(c_2)}$ = 0.348, $W_{a_2}^{(c_2)}$= 0.188, $W_{a_3}^{(c_2)}$ = 0.464
- For "Cultural Relevance" (c3):
  $W_{a_1}^{(c_3)}$ = 0.228, $W_{a_2}^{(c_3)}$= 0.553, $W_{a_3}^{(c_3)}$ = 0.219

c. Step 3: Aggregation of Criteria Weights

The overall priority weights for alternatives are determined by aggregating the weights of individual criteria:
- w_{a_1} = (0.461×0.277) + (0.271×0.348) + (0.268×0.228) = 0.279
- w_{a_2} = (0.461×0.556) + (0.271×0.188) + (0.268×0.553) = 0.416
- w_{a_3} = (0.461×0.167) + (0.271×0.464) + (0.268×0.219) = 0.305

Based on their overall priority weights, the alternatives are ranked as follows:
- Alternative 2: "Aisha" (with w_{a_2} = 0.416
- Alternative 3: "Omar" (with w_{a_3} = 0.305
- Alternative 1: "Muhammad" (with w_{a_1} = 0.279

d. Step 5: Decision-making Process

Based on the rankings provided by the model, decision-makers can select "Aisha" as the most suitable Islamic name, followed by "Omar" and "Muhammad" in descending order of preference, considering the prioritization of criteria and alternatives derived from the AHP.

The results of the numerical example highlight the effectiveness of the Decision Support System in prioritizing Islamic names based on the specified criteria of religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance.
- Preference for "Aisha":
  The DSS identified "Aisha" as the most suitable Islamic name, with the highest overall priority weight of w_{a_2} =0.416. This suggests that "Aisha" performed well across all criteria, indicating strong religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance.
- Consideration of "Omar":
  "Omar" was ranked second by the DSS, with an overall priority weight of w_{a_3}=0.305. While "Omar" scored lower than "Aisha," it still demonstrated satisfactory performance across the criteria, particularly in terms of cultural relevance.
- Lower Ranking for "Muhammad":
  "Muhammad" was ranked third by the DSS, with an overall priority weight of w_{a_1}=0.279. Despite being a highly revered name in Islam, "Muhammad" received a lower ranking in this particular context, indicating that it may not have performed as strongly in terms of linguistic aesthetics or cultural relevance. The results of the numerical example underscore the importance of considering multiple criteria when selecting Islamic names.

The Results of the Decision Support System's Evaluation of Islamic Names and Implications of the findings

The DSS ranked the Islamic names based on their overall suitability or preference, considering the specified criteria. Among the evaluated names, "Aisha" emerged as the top-ranked name, demonstrating strong performance across all criteria. It was followed by "Omar" and "Muhammad," which received lower rankings but still exhibited satisfactory attributes in certain dimensions.

Through pairwise comparisons, decision-makers assigned relative importance to each criterion, reflecting their preferences and values. The evaluation highlighted the significance of

criteria such as religious significance and cultural relevance in influencing name selection decisions. Linguistic aesthetics also played a role, although its impact varied depending on individual preferences and cultural backgrounds.

The DSS emphasized the importance of cultural sensitivity in selecting Islamic names, acknowledging the diverse cultural contexts and preferences within Muslim communities. By considering cultural relevance as a criterion, the DSS ensured that names resonated with cultural traditions and societal norms, fostering a sense of identity and belonging among individuals and families.

The evaluation process empowered decision-makers to make informed and meaningful choices regarding Islamic names. By providing transparent and structured decision-making tools, the DSS enabled users to prioritize criteria according to their values and preferences, leading to more personalized and culturally sensitive decisions.

The emphasis on cultural relevance in name selection reflects a commitment to preserving cultural heritage and identity within Muslim communities. By prioritizing names that align with cultural traditions and societal norms, individuals and families contribute to the preservation and transmission of cultural knowledge and values across generations.

The recognition of religious significance as a key criterion underscores the spiritual and symbolic importance of names in Islam. Names derived from the Quranic verses, prophetic traditions, and Islamic history hold deep religious significance, serving as a reminder of faith and piety. The DSS facilitates the selection of names that honor Islamic teachings and values, strengthening the spiritual connection of individuals to their faith.

The DSS empowers individuals and families to select names that reflect their unique identities, preferences, and aspirations. By considering multiple criteria and weighing their importance, decision-makers can personalize the name selection process, ensuring that chosen names resonate with their individual beliefs, values, and cultural backgrounds.

The evaluation process fosters a sense of community cohesion and shared identity within Muslim societies. By collectively engaging in the name selection process and respecting cultural traditions, communities strengthen bonds of solidarity and mutual respect. The DSS serves as a tool for facilitating dialogue, collaboration, and consensus-building among diverse stakeholders, contributing to social cohesion and harmony.

Analyzing the Strengths and Limitations of the Decision Support System

One of the primary strengths of the DSS is its structured approach to decision-making. By breaking down the decision problem into criteria, sub-criteria, and alternatives, the DSS provides decision-makers with a systematic framework for evaluating options. This structured process enhances clarity and transparency, allowing decision-makers to consider multiple factors and make well-informed choices.

The DSS considers multiple criteria, including religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance, when evaluating Islamic names. This multi-criteria approach ensures that decisions are not based solely on one aspect but take into account the diverse preferences and values of users. By weighting criteria according to their relative importance, the DSS enables a balanced assessment of alternatives.

Another strength of the DSS is its flexibility and customization. Users have the flexibility to adjust the weights assigned to criteria based on their personal preferences and contextual considerations. This customization allows users to tailor the decision-making process to their specific needs and priorities, enhancing the relevance and applicability of the DSS in diverse settings.

The DSS empowers decision-makers by providing them with relevant information and insights to make informed choices. By presenting a ranked list of alternatives based on their overall suitability, the DSS guides decision-makers towards options that align with their preferences and priorities. This empowerment fosters a sense of ownership and confidence in decision-making processes.

One of the limitations of the DSS is the inherent subjectivity in weighting criteria. The process of assigning weights to criteria based on pairwise comparisons relies on subjective judgments from decision-makers. While efforts are made to ensure consistency and reliability in judgments, variations in individual perceptions and biases may affect the accuracy of the results.

The effectiveness of the DSS is highly dependent on the quality and accuracy of input data, including pairwise comparisons and criteria weights. Inaccurate or incomplete data may lead to biased results and undermine the reliability of the decision-making process. Ensuring the integrity of input data through rigorous validation and verification processes is essential to mitigate this limitation.

The DSS focuses primarily on predefined criteria, such as religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance, when evaluating Islamic names. While these criteria cover important aspects of name selection, they may not capture the full range of considerations that individuals or communities may deem relevant. The limited scope of criteria may overlook nuanced preferences or cultural variations, limiting the applicability of the DSS in diverse contexts.

Implementing and maintaining the DSS requires resources, expertise, and technological infrastructure. The complexity of the AHP methodology and software development processes may pose challenges for users with limited technical proficiency or access to specialized resources. Simplifying the user interface, providing training and support, and streamlining implementation processes can help mitigate these challenges.

Comparing the Performance of the Decision Support System with Existing Methods for Selecting Islamic Names

The process of selecting Islamic names holds profound cultural and religious significance, reflecting the rich tapestry of Islamic heritage and beliefs. Traditionally, individuals and families have relied on various methods and sources to choose names that embody their faith, values, and aspirations. In recent years, the advent of decision support systems (DSS) has offered a modern approach to assist in this decision-making process.

The DSS offers a structured and systematic approach to selecting Islamic names, incorporating criteria such as religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance. By organizing criteria and alternatives into a hierarchical framework, the DSS provides decision-makers with a clear and transparent methodology for evaluating and prioritizing names.

Unlike traditional methods, which may rely on subjective judgments or anecdotal evidence, the DSS leverages data analytics and mathematical algorithms to derive priority rankings for names. By conducting pairwise comparisons and aggregating criteria weights, the DSS ensures objective and evidence-based decision-making, enhancing the reliability and accuracy of name selection.

The DSS allows for customization and flexibility in decision-making, accommodating diverse preferences, beliefs, and cultural backgrounds. Users can adjust criteria weights and preferences according to their individual priorities, ensuring personalized recommendations that resonate with their values and aspirations.

By providing a user-friendly interface and interactive features, the DSS empowers decision-makers to actively participate in the selection process. Users can explore different scenarios, compare alternatives, and make informed choices based on their preferences and priorities, enhancing their sense of agency and ownership in the decision-making process.

The performance of the DSS is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of input data, including criteria weights, pairwise comparisons, and alternative names. Inaccurate or biased data may lead to suboptimal recommendations, undermining the reliability and effectiveness of the system.

While the DSS aims to incorporate cultural sensitivity into the decision-making process, it may not fully capture the nuances and complexities of naming practices across diverse cultural contexts. Names hold different meanings and connotations in different cultures, and the DSS may struggle to account for these variations adequately.

Implementing and using the DSS may require technical expertise and resources, limiting its accessibility and usability for some users, particularly those with limited technological literacy or resources. The complexity of the AHP methodology and decision modeling techniques may pose challenges for non-expert users.

Despite its objective framework, the DSS is subject to the inherent subjectivity and biases of decision-makers when evaluating criteria and alternatives. Individual preferences, beliefs, and cultural influences may influence the weighting of criteria and the interpretation of results, introducing variability and uncertainty into the decision-making process.

Traditional methods for selecting Islamic names, such as consulting religious texts, seeking advice from family members or religious scholars, and considering cultural customs and traditions, offer a deep connection to Islamic heritage and beliefs. These methods emphasize spiritual significance and community values, providing a sense of continuity and identity across generations. However, they may lack the systematic approach and data-driven insights offered by the DSS, relying more on subjective judgments and personal preferences.

With the proliferation of online resources and name databases, individuals have access to a vast array of Islamic names and their meanings, origins, and popularity rankings. These resources offer convenience and variety, allowing users to explore a wide range of options and make informed choices. However, they may lack the personalized recommendations and cultural sensitivity provided by the DSS, which considers individual preferences and values in name selection.

Social networks and peer recommendations play a significant role in name selection, enabling individuals to seek advice, share experiences, and gather feedback from friends, family members, and online communities. These informal networks provide social support and validation, helping individuals navigate the decision-making process. However, they may lack the systematic approach and data-driven analysis offered by the DSS, potentially leading to inconsistent or biased recommendations.

Addressing Cultural, Social, and Ethical Considerations of Using a Decision Support System for Selecting Islamic Names

The utilization of a Decision Support System (DSS) for selecting Islamic names presents various cultural, social, and ethical considerations that warrant careful examination. While the DSS offers a modern and systematic approach to name selection, it is essential to acknowledge and address the potential implications of its use within the context of Islamic culture and society.

Islamic names hold profound religious significance, often derived from the Quran or reflecting attributes valued in Islam. The DSS must ensure cultural sensitivity by prioritizing names with strong religious associations and avoiding those that may be deemed disrespectful or inappropriate within Islamic teachings.

Islam encompasses a diverse range of cultures and traditions, each with its own naming customs and conventions. The DSS should accommodate this diversity by incorporating a wide variety of Islamic names from different cultural backgrounds, ensuring inclusivity and representation.

Islamic naming practices are deeply rooted in tradition and heritage, with names often carrying familial, tribal, or historical significance. The DSS should respect and preserve these naming traditions by providing recommendations that honor familial lineage and cultural identity.

Name selection is a familial decision often involving multiple stakeholders, including parents, grandparents, and extended family members. The DSS should facilitate communication and consensus-building among family members, promoting shared decision-making and fostering familial harmony.

Islamic communities may have specific expectations and norms regarding name selection, influenced by cultural customs, social conventions, and religious teachings. The DSS should consider these community expectations and provide recommendations that align with community values and norms.

While the DSS offers objective recommendations based on predefined criteria, it is essential to recognize the importance of individual choice and personalization in name selection. Users should have the flexibility to customize criteria weights and preferences according to their unique cultural backgrounds and personal preferences.

The DSS may collect and store sensitive personal data, including names, preferences, and cultural backgrounds. It is crucial to ensure robust privacy and data security measures to protect user confidentiality and prevent unauthorized access or misuse of personal information.

The DSS should mitigate the risk of algorithmic bias by ensuring fairness and equity in name recommendations. This includes addressing potential biases in data sources, algorithmic decision-making processes, and user interfaces to avoid perpetuating stereotypes or discrimination.

Transparency is essential in the design and implementation of the DSS, enabling users to understand how name recommendations are generated and evaluated. The system should provide

clear explanations of criteria, algorithms, and data sources, empowering users to make informed decisions and hold the system accountable for its recommendations.

4. CONCLUSION

The development of a Decision Support System (DSS) for selecting Islamic names using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) methodology represents a significant advancement in the field of name selection, offering a modern and systematic approach to an age-old tradition. Through the integration of data analytics, mathematical modeling, and cultural sensitivity, the DSS empowers Muslim individuals and families to make informed and meaningful choices when naming their loved ones. The research journey has been guided by a deep appreciation for the cultural and religious significance of Islamic names, recognizing their role in shaping identity, fostering community cohesion, and honoring religious heritage. By drawing on insights from existing literature, engaging stakeholders, and applying rigorous methodologies, the research has contributed to advancing knowledge and understanding in this domain. The findings of the research underscore the importance of considering multiple criteria, including religious significance, linguistic aesthetics, and cultural relevance, in name selection. The DSS provides a structured framework for prioritizing these criteria and generating personalized recommendations that resonate with individual preferences and values. While the DSS offers significant benefits in terms of efficiency, objectivity, and cultural sensitivity, it is not without its challenges and limitations. Addressing issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and user accessibility will be critical to ensuring the ethical and responsible deployment of the system. Looking ahead, the research opens avenues for further exploration and refinement, including the development of user-friendly interfaces, the validation of the system in diverse cultural contexts, and the integration of additional criteria and data sources. By embracing innovation while honoring tradition, the DSS has the potential to serve as a valuable tool for Muslim communities worldwide, enriching the experience of name selection and preserving the cultural heritage of Islam for generations to come. In essence, the journey of developing a DSS for selecting Islamic names has been a testament to the enduring significance of tradition in a rapidly evolving world. By leveraging technology to bridge the past and the present, the research has reaffirmed the timeless importance of names as markers of identity, faith, and cultural heritage in the Islamic tradition.

REFERENCES

Abd-Allah, U. F. (2006). Islam and the cultural imperative. *CrossCurrents*, 357–375.

Ahmad, K., & Azzam, S. (1976). its Meaning and Message. *Is Lamic Council of Eu Rope, London*.

Ajah, I. A., & Nweke, H. F. (2019). Big data and business analytics: Trends, platforms, success factors and applications. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, *3*(2), 32.

Aleem, S. (2011). *Prophet Muhammad (s) and His Family: A Sociological Perspective*. Author House.

An-Na'im, A. (2002). *Islamic family law in a changing world: A global resource book*. Zed Books London.

Bayat, A., & Herrera, L. (2010). *Being young and Muslim: New cultural politics in the global south and north*. Religion and Global Politics.

Bensaid, B. (2021). An overview of muslim spiritual parenting. *Religions*, *12*(12), 1057.

Bonczek, R. H., Holsapple, C. W., & Whinston, A. B. (2014). *Foundations of decision support systems*. Academic Press.

Brass, P. R. (2024). Elite groups, symbol manipulation and ethnic identity among the Muslims of South Asia. In *Political Identity in South Asia* (pp. 35–77). Routledge.

Brend, B. (1991). *Islamic art*. Harvard University Press.

Cheraghi, M. A., Manookian, A., & Nasrabadi, A. N. (2014). Human dignity in religion-embedded cross-cultural nursing. *Nursing Ethics*, *21*(8), 916–928.

Dos Santos, P. H., Neves, S. M., Sant'Anna, D. O., De Oliveira, C. H., & Carvalho, H. D. (2019). The analytic hierarchy process supporting decision making for sustainable development: An overview of applications. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *212*, 119–138.

Falah, G.-W., & Nagel, C. R. (2005). *Geographies of Muslim women: Gender, religion, and space*. Guilford Press.

Filip, F. G. (2008). Decision support and control for large-scale complex systems. *Annual Reviews in Control*, *32*(1), 61–70.

Hammad, H. (2022). *Unknown Past: Layla Murad, the Jewish-Muslim Star of Egypt*. Stanford University Press.

Hughes, A. W. (2013). *Muslim identities: An introduction to Islam*. Columbia University Press.

Islam, M. R., Islam, M. R., & Beg, M. R. A. (2008). Renewable energy resources and technologies practice in Bangladesh. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *12*(2), 299–343.

Katarina, D., Nurrohman, A., & Putra, A. S. (2021). Decision support system for the best student selection recommendation using AHP (analytic hierarchy process) method. *International Journal of Educational Research and Social Sciences (IJERSC)*, *2*(5), 1210–1217.

Khazaii, J., & Khazaii, J. (2016). Analytical hierarchy process (AHP). *Advanced Decision Making for HVAC Engineers: Creating Energy Efficient Smart Buildings*, 73–85.

Musen, M. A., Middleton, B., & Greenes, R. A. (2021). Clinical decision-support systems. In *Biomedical informatics: computer applications in health care and biomedicine* (pp. 795–840). Springer.

Norris, P., & Inglehart, R. (2009). *Cosmopolitan communications: Cultural diversity in a globalized world*. Cambridge University Press.

Peek, L. (2005). Becoming Muslim: The development of a religious identity. *Sociology of Religion*, *66*(3), 215–242.

Rinner, C., Keßler, C., & Andrulis, S. (2008). The use of Web 2.0 concepts to support deliberation in spatial decision-making. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, *32*(5), 386–395.

Rippin, A., & Knappert, J. (1990). *Textual sources for the study of Islam*. University of Chicago Press.

Sauter, V. L. (2014). *Decision support systems for business intelligence*. John Wiley & Sons.

Ueda, K., Takenaka, T., Váncza, J., & Monostori, L. (2009). Value creation and decision-making in sustainable society. *CIRP Annals*, *58*(2), 681–700.

Williams, K. D. (2002). *Ostracism: The power of silence*. Guilford Press.

Zaidi, N. Z. (2015). *Making spaces sacred: The Sayyeda Zaynab and Bibi Pak Daman shrines and the construction of modern Shi'a identity*. University of Pennsylvania.