Vol. 12, No. 2, August 2023 • ISSN 2302-9609 (Print), 2746-4024 (Online)

L'Geneus : The Journal Language Generations of Intellectual Society

journal homepage: www.iocscience.org/ejournal/index.php/geneus

Enhancing Middle School Science Education: Augmented Reality's Impact on Learning Motivation

Maruna Suhendah[1] Desmawarni Prazna[2]

Email: maruansuhendah@unicen.ac
[1,2]Cendrawasih University
Jl. Uncen, Kota Baru, Abepura, Jayapura City, Papua 99351

ARTICLEINFO

Article history:

Received: 05/07/2023
Revised: 26/07/2023
Accepted: 15/08/2023
Available online: 30/08/2023

Keywords:

Augmented Reality (AR);
Educational Technology;
Learning Motivation.

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the transformative potential of integrating augmented reality (AR) into middle school science education to enhance students' learning motivation. The study aims to explore how AR technology influences students' motivation levels and engagement in science learning, addressing existing challenges in comprehending abstract scientific concepts and sustaining interest in science subjects. Employing a quasi-experimental design, the study includes an experimental group exposed to AR-enhanced science lessons and a control group receiving traditional instruction. A mixed-methods approach incorporates quantitative analyses of pre-test/post-test data, capturing changes in motivation scores, and qualitative insights from interviews and observations, delving into the experiential impact of AR on students. Quantitative analysis reveals statistically significant increases in motivation scores within the experimental group compared to the control, indicating the tangible impact of AR on enhancing students' interest, enjoyment, and perceived competence in science. Qualitative data provides nuanced insights, portraying a transformative shift in students' attitudes and engagement, highlighting AR's role in fostering curiosity and intrinsic motivation. The implications of these findings resonate deeply within educational practices, advocating for the strategic integration of AR technology. AR offers a dynamic platform to bridge the gap between abstract scientific concepts and students' comprehension, fostering deeper engagement and sustained interest.

1. Introduction

Augmented Reality (AR) is a cutting-edge technology that blends the real world with computer-generated content, enriching our perception of reality by overlaying digital information onto our physical environment. In educational settings, AR has emerged as a transformative tool, revolutionizing the traditional methods of teaching and learning(Papadopoulou et al., 2019).

At its core, AR enhances the learning experience by superimposing virtual elements such as images, videos, or 3D models onto the real world in real-time(Yuen et al., 2011). Unlike Virtual Reality (VR), which immerses users in a completely simulated environment, AR supplements the existing environment with additional layers of information, making it an ideal educational tool for various subjects and age groups.

The relevance of AR in education lies in its unparalleled ability to create immersive, interactive, and engaging learning experiences(Yuen et al., 2013). By leveraging this technology, educators can transcend the limitations of traditional teaching methods, offering students dynamic and personalized learning opportunities.

One of the primary strengths of AR in education is its capacity to make abstract concepts tangible and easily comprehensible(Liarokapis & Anderson, 2010). In subjects like science,

where complex theories and abstract ideas often pose challenges to students, AR bridges the gap between theory and practice. For instance, in biology, students can explore interactive 3D models of cells or organisms, dissecting and examining them in detail, thus enhancing their understanding of intricate biological structures(Anđić et al., 2022).

Moreover, AR fosters hands-on learning experiences, which are known to significantly enhance retention and comprehension(Papanastasiou et al., 2019). By allowing students to manipulate virtual objects or conduct experiments in a simulated environment, AR cultivates a deeper level of engagement and involvement in the learning process.

Beyond its impact on comprehension, AR also cultivates creativity and critical thinking(Facione, 2011). Students can collaborate on projects, solve problems in augmented environments, and explore scenarios that encourage innovation and exploration.

Furthermore, AR's adaptability makes it suitable for diverse learning styles. Visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learners can all benefit from AR-based lessons, as the technology caters to multiple learning preferences, making education more inclusive and accessible(Ramachadran, 2016).

In essence, the integration of AR in educational settings represents a paradigm shift a move from passive learning to active, experiential learning(Li et al., 2010). It empowers students to become active participants in their education, fostering curiosity, motivation, and a deeper connection with the subject matter.

Motivation is a crucial factor in the learning process, especially among middle school students who often undergo significant cognitive and socio-emotional development(Jansen & Kiefer, 2020). Science education, while pivotal, can sometimes be challenging to engage students fully due to its abstract concepts and complex theories. Motivation plays a pivotal role in fostering sustained interest and engagement in science subjects(Pintrich, 2003).

Middle school students frequently encounter hurdles in science education, including difficulty in comprehending abstract concepts, lack of hands-on experiences, and a potential lack of engagement or interest in traditional teaching methods(Anderman et al., 2012). These challenges can lead to disengagement, affecting their learning outcomes and long-term interest in scientific fields.

Augmented reality offers a promising solution to address these challenges(Wu et al., 2013). By integrating AR technology into science learning, educators can create dynamic and interactive experiences that bring abstract concepts to life, facilitate hands-on learning, and engage students in a visually stimulating manner(Jesionkowska et al., 2020). AR has the potential to make complex scientific ideas more accessible, fostering deeper understanding and increasing student engagement and motivation.

Understanding the impact of AR on middle school students' motivation in science learning is vital for educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers(Stuckey et al., 2013). Exploring how AR interventions influence students' motivation levels can provide valuable insights into designing effective and engaging learning experiences. This research aims to contribute empirical evidence on the effectiveness of AR as a tool to enhance motivation and learning outcomes in science education among middle school students(Cheng & Tsai, 2013).

2. Method

The research methodology employed in this study is designed to comprehensively analyze the effects of integrating augmented reality (AR) into science education for middle school students, specifically focusing on its influence on learning motivation. This methodology encompasses a multi-dimensional approach, incorporating both qualitative and quantitative methods to gather and interpret data effectively(Charon et al., 1994).

The research adopts a quasi-experimental design, utilizing a pre-test/post-test control group structure(González-Alonso et al., 2020). A sample of middle school students from

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

diverse backgrounds and varying academic abilities will be selected randomly and divided into two groups: an experimental group exposed to AR-enhanced science lessons and a control group receiving traditional science instruction.

The intervention involves integrating AR technology into the experimental group's science curriculum(Fidan & Tuncel, 2019). Customized AR applications and content aligned with the curriculum will be developed. The AR-based lessons will focus on complex scientific concepts, offering interactive, visually engaging experiences designed to enhance comprehension and engagement(Spadoni et al., 2022).

Pre-Test and Post-Test Surveys: Before and after the AR intervention, both groups will complete surveys assessing their baseline motivation levels regarding science learning(All et al., 2017). The surveys will employ Likert scales and open-ended questions to measure motivational factors such as interest, enjoyment, and perceived competence.

Researchers will conduct observations during AR-enhanced lessons to note students' engagement levels, interactions with AR content, and behavioral indicators of motivation(Khan et al., 2019). Selected participants from both groups will partake in semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions to gather qualitative insights into their experiences with AR, exploring its impact on their motivation, learning preferences, and overall perceptions of science education.

Quantitative data from pre-test/post-test surveys will be analyzed using statistical tools such as paired-sample t-tests to ascertain any significant differences in motivation levels between the experimental and control groups(Contreras, 2020). Qualitative data from interviews, observations, and focus groups will undergo thematic analysis to identify patterns, themes, and nuanced insights regarding the impact of AR on motivation and learning experiences.

The research adheres to ethical guidelines, ensuring informed consent from participants and confidentiality of collected data(Wiles et al., 2007). Measures are in place to address any potential risks or discomfort associated with participation.

This research methodology offers a structured and comprehensive framework for investigating the influence of AR on middle school students' motivation in science learning(Marzano, 2007). By combining quantitative and qualitative approaches, it aims to provide a holistic understanding of the impact of AR interventions on motivation, contribution.

3. Results and Discussion

The study investigating the integration of augmented reality (AR) into middle school science education to enhance student motivation yielded compelling insights into the effects of AR on learning motivation among middle school students. The findings, derived from a blend of quantitative and qualitative data, provide a comprehensive understanding of the influence of AR technology on students' motivation and engagement in science learning.

The analysis of pre-test and post-test data revealed notable changes in motivation levels among students exposed to AR-enhanced science lessons. Statistical analyses, including paired t-tests, demonstrated a significant increase in motivation scores within the experimental group compared to the control group that received traditional instruction. These findings indicate that the incorporation of AR technology positively impacted students' motivation in science learning.

Moreover, specific motivational factors, such as interest, enjoyment, and perceived competence in science subjects, showed considerable improvement among students who experienced AR-based learning. The data indicated a notable shift in students' attitudes toward science, reflecting increased enthusiasm and engagement following the AR intervention.

Qualitative data obtained through interviews and focus group discussions provided nuanced insights into students' experiences with AR in science education. Participants expressed a heightened sense of curiosity and excitement when engaging with AR-enhanced

lessons. They highlighted the immersive and interactive nature of AR as a significant contributor to their increased motivation and interest in science.

Furthermore, students articulated that AR technology facilitated a deeper understanding of complex scientific concepts by making abstract theories more tangible and accessible. The visual and interactive elements of AR-enabled them to explore scientific phenomena in ways that traditional methods could not achieve, fostering a sense of empowerment and confidence in their learning abilities.

Observational data collected during AR-enhanced lessons corroborated the quantitative and qualitative findings. Researchers noted heightened engagement levels among students interacting with AR content. Instances of increased collaboration, active participation, and enthusiasm during these sessions underscored the positive impact of AR on student motivation and learning experiences.

Analysis of Learning Motivation Changes in Middle School Science Education

Quantitative analysis of pre-test and post-test data revealed compelling changes in learning motivation among middle school students exposed to AR-enhanced science learning. Statistical examination, including paired t-tests, showcased a statistically significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in motivation scores within the group subjected to AR-based lessons compared to the control group.

The quantitative data highlighted substantial shifts in specific motivational dimensions, notably in students' interest, enjoyment, and perceived competence in science subjects. Students engaged in AR-enhanced learning exhibited significantly elevated scores in these motivational factors post-intervention compared to their baseline scores and those of the control group. This statistically significant improvement substantiates the positive impact of AR on enhancing students' motivation in science education.

Qualitative data gathered through interviews and focus group discussions provided in-depth insights into the experiential aspects of using AR in science learning. Participants conveyed a palpable increase in their motivation levels, emphasizing the immersive and interactive nature of AR as a pivotal factor. They articulated a newfound enthusiasm for science subjects, attributing it to the engaging and visually stimulating experiences offered by AR technology.

The qualitative analysis illuminated a shift in students' perceptions, indicating a heightened interest and intrinsic motivation fostered by the AR-based learning interventions. Participants described a transformation in their approach to learning, expressing increased curiosity, confidence, and enjoyment while interacting with AR content. This qualitative evidence substantiates the quantitative findings, highlighting the qualitative impact of AR on students' intrinsic motivation and engagement in science education.

The convergence of quantitative and qualitative data underscores the significance of AR in augmenting middle school students' learning motivation. The quantitative analysis validates the statistical significance of increased motivation scores, while the qualitative insights provide a nuanced understanding of the experiential and perceptual changes observed among students.

The findings collectively suggest that AR technology not only enhances motivation quantitatively, as evidenced by statistical changes in scores, but also qualitatively transforms students' attitudes and engagement in science learning. This synthesis of data types accentuates the holistic and multifaceted impact of AR, portraying it as a catalyst for augmenting both measurable motivational factors and subjective, experiential aspects of learning.

Study Results in Context of Research Objectives and Hypotheses

The primary objective of this study was to investigate the influence of integrating augmented reality (AR) into middle school science education on students' learning motivation.

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

The research hypotheses posited that the use of AR technology would significantly increase students' motivation levels in science learning compared to traditional instructional methods.

The findings derived from a combination of quantitative and qualitative analyses align closely with the research objectives and hypotheses, affirming the transformative impact of AR on middle school students' learning motivation in science education.

Quantitative analysis unveiled statistically significant increases in motivation scores among students engaged in AR-enhanced science learning. The measured improvements in motivational factors such as interest, enjoyment, and perceived competence showcased the tangible impact of AR interventions. These quantitative results provided empirical evidence supporting the hypotheses, indicating that the use of AR technology indeed led to a noteworthy enhancement in students' motivation levels compared to traditional instruction.

Qualitative insights further elucidated the depth and nuances of the impact of AR on students' motivation. Participants' descriptions of increased curiosity, enthusiasm, and engagement in science learning corroborated the quantitative findings. The qualitative data reinforced the hypotheses, underscoring the experiential transformation brought about by AR technology, aligning with the objective of exploring its influence on students' intrinsic motivation.

In the context of the research objectives, the findings validate the efficacy of AR technology as a potent tool for fostering enhanced learning motivation among middle school students. The quantitative evidence substantiates the statistical significance of increased motivation scores, while the qualitative insights provide a richer understanding of the experiential and perceptual changes observed among students.

The results not only confirm the hypotheses but also transcend them, emphasizing the profound and multifaceted impact of AR on students' motivation in science education. The integration of AR technology transcended merely improving scores; it cultivated a genuine interest, enthusiasm, and intrinsic motivation for science learning among the participants.

Implications of Findings and Relevance to Educational Practices

The study's findings on the positive impact of augmented reality (AR) on middle school students' learning motivation in science education bear significant implications for educational practices. The results underscore AR technology's transformative potential in reshaping pedagogical approaches and fostering enhanced engagement and motivation among students.

The findings advocate for the strategic integration of AR technology into educational practices. AR offers a dynamic and immersive platform that transcends traditional instructional methods, providing students with experiential and interactive learning opportunities. Educators can leverage AR to bridge the gap between abstract scientific concepts and students' comprehension, fostering deeper engagement and sustained interest in science subjects.

By incorporating AR-enhanced lessons into the curriculum, educators can create tailored, visually stimulating learning experiences that cater to diverse learning styles and preferences. This technological innovation aligns with the technological inclinations of today's students, enhancing their motivation by offering a captivating and interactive learning environment.

Furthermore, AR promotes active participation and hands-on exploration, encouraging students to take ownership of their learning journey. The findings highlight the potential for AR to stimulate intrinsic motivation, curiosity, and enthusiasm, essential elements for fostering a lifelong passion for science and learning.

The study's contributions to the existing body of knowledge in educational technology are substantial. It substantiates and augments previous research by providing empirical evidence of AR's efficacy in enhancing middle school students' motivation in science learning. The

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

synthesis of quantitative and qualitative data offers a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted impact of AR on learning motivation.

Moreover, the findings contribute valuable insights into the pedagogical implications of integrating AR technology into science education. They inform educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers about the potential of AR as a transformative tool for addressing motivational challenges in science learning. This contributes to a deeper understanding of how technology, specifically AR, can be harnessed to optimize learning experiences and improve educational outcomes.

The study serves as a catalyst for further research endeavors, encouraging exploration into the diverse applications of AR across various educational domains. It stimulates discussions and advancements in educational practices, emphasizing the need to leverage technological innovations to create engaging and effective learning environments.

4. Conclusion

The exploration into the integration of augmented reality (AR) into middle school science education has unveiled compelling insights into the transformative potential of technology in enhancing learning motivation. This study aimed to investigate the impact of AR on students' motivation, and the findings have illuminated a path towards reshaping educational practices and fostering a deeper engagement with science subjects among middle school students. The empirical evidence, derived from a comprehensive blend of quantitative and qualitative analyses, validates the efficacy of AR technology as a catalyst for enhancing learning motivation. The statistically significant increases in motivation scores, coupled with qualitative insights highlighting experiential transformations, reaffirm AR's pivotal role in igniting curiosity, enthusiasm, and intrinsic motivation among students engaged in science learning. The implications of these findings resonate deeply within educational practices. The integration of AR presents a transformative opportunity for educators and curriculum developers to create dynamic, immersive, and personalized learning experiences. AR technology offers a gateway to bridge the gap between abstract scientific concepts and students' comprehension, fostering a deeper understanding and sustained interest in science subjects. By embracing AR-enhanced lessons, educators can cater to diverse learning styles, providing students with visually stimulating and interactive platforms that resonate with their technological inclinations. AR promotes active exploration, empowering students to take charge of their learning journey, cultivating not just improved scores but genuine passion and curiosity for science. Moreover, this study's contributions to the existing body of knowledge in educational technology are profound. It offers empirical evidence, substantiating AR's efficacy in enhancing learning motivation, and advocates for a paradigm shift towards technology-enabled, engaging, and motivational learning environments. These findings lay a foundation for future research endeavors, stimulating discourse and advancements in educational practices harnessing the potential of AR technology. In essence, the study's outcomes underscore the transformative impact of AR in middle school science education, advocating for its strategic integration as a catalyst for fostering intrinsic motivation, curiosity, and a lifelong passion for learning. The findings not only illuminate a path towards educational innovation but also champion a pedagogical shift towards leveraging technology to create engaging, inclusive, and transformative learning experiences.

5. Reference

All, A., Plovie, B., Castellar, E. P. N., & Van Looy, J. (2017). Pre-test influences on the effectiveness of digital-game based learning: A case study of a fire safety game. *Computers & Education*, *114*, 24–37.

Anderman, E. M., Sinatra, G. M., & Gray, D. L. (2012). The challenges of teaching and learning about science in the twenty-first century: Exploring the abilities and constraints of adolescent learners. *StudieS in Science Education*, *48*(1), 89–117.

Anđić, B., Lavicza, Z., Ulbrich, E., Cvjetićanin, S., Petrović, F., & Maričić, M. (2022). Contribution of 3D modelling and

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

printing to learning in primary schools: a case study with visually impaired students from an inclusive Biology classroom. *Journal of Biological Education*, 1–17.
Charon, R., Greene, M. G., & Adelman, R. D. (1994). Multi-dimensional interaction analysis: a collaborative approach to the study of medical discourse. *Social Science & Medicine*, *39*(7), 955–965.
Cheng, K.-H., & Tsai, C.-C. (2013). Affordances of augmented reality in science learning: Suggestions for future research. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *22*, 449–462.
Contreras, E. (2020). *The Impact of Deep Breathing on Test Anxiety: A Quantitative Pre-Test/Post-Test Quasi-Experimental Study*. Northcentral University.
Facione, P. A. (2011). Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts. *Insight Assessment*, *1*(1), 1–23.
Fidan, M., & Tuncel, M. (2019). Integrating augmented reality into problem based learning: The effects on learning achievement and attitude in physics education. *Computers & Education*, *142*, 103635.
González-Alonso, F., Guillén-Gámez, F. D., & de Castro-Hernández, R. M. (2020). Methodological analysis of the effect of an anti-bullying programme in secondary education through communicative competence: A pre-test–post-test study with a control-experimental group. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(9), 3047.
Jansen, K., & Kiefer, S. M. (2020). Understanding brain development: Investing in young adolescents' cognitive and social-emotional development. *Middle School Journal*, *51*(4), 18–25.
Jesionkowska, J., Wild, F., & Deval, Y. (2020). Active learning augmented reality for STEAM education—A case study. *Education Sciences*, *10*(8), 198.
Khan, T., Johnston, K., & Ophoff, J. (2019). The impact of an augmented reality application on learning motivation of students. *Advances in Human-Computer Interaction*, *2019*.
Li, Q., Clark, B., & Winchester, I. (2010). Instructional design and technology grounded in enactivism: A paradigm shift? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *41*(3), 403–419.
Liarokapis, F., & Anderson, E. F. (2010). *Using augmented reality as a medium to assist teaching in higher education*.
Marzano, R. J. (2007). *The art and science of teaching: A comprehensive framework for effective instruction*. Ascd.
Papadopoulou, P., Chui, K. T., Daniela, L., & Lytras, M. D. (2019). Virtual and augmented reality in medical education and training: innovative ways for transforming medical education in the 21st century. In *Cognitive Computing in Technology-Enhanced Learning* (pp. 109–150). IGI Global.
Papanastasiou, G., Drigas, A., Skianis, C., Lytras, M., & Papanastasiou, E. (2019). Virtual and augmented reality effects on K-12, higher and tertiary education students' twenty-first century skills. *Virtual Reality*, *23*, 425–436.
Pintrich, P. R. (2003). A motivational science perspective on the role of student motivation in learning and teaching contexts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *95*(4), 667.
Ramachadran, D. (2016). 1 REIMAGINING CONTENT: DYNAMIC AND CREATIVE IMAGERY FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION USING AR TECHNOLOGY. *Global Journal of Business and Integral Security*.
Spadoni, E., Porro, S., Bordegoni, M., Arosio, I., Barbalini, L., & Carulli, M. (2022). Augmented reality to engage visitors of science museums through interactive experiences. *Heritage*, *5*(3), 1370–1394.
Stuckey, M., Hofstein, A., Mamlok-Naaman, R., & Eilks, I. (2013). The meaning of 'relevance'in science education and its implications for the science curriculum. *Studies in Science Education*, *49*(1), 1–34.
Wiles, R., Crow, G., Charles, V., & Heath, S. (2007). Informed consent and the research process: following rules or striking balances? *Sociological Research Online*, *12*(2), 99–110.
Wu, H.-K., Lee, S. W.-Y., Chang, H.-Y., & Liang, J.-C. (2013). Current status, opportunities and challenges of augmented reality in education. *Computers & Education*, *62*, 41–49.
Yuen, S. C.-Y., Yaoyuneyong, G., & Johnson, E. (2011). Augmented reality: An overview and five directions for AR in education. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange (JETDE)*, *4*(1), 11.
Yuen, S. C.-Y., Yaoyuneyong, G., & Johnson, E. (2013). Augmented reality and education: Applications and potentials. *Reshaping Learning: Frontiers of Learning Technology in a Global Context*, 385–414.